

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/034,665		DANTWALA, NEHAL		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Trang U. Tran		2622		

All Participants:

(1) Trang U. Tran.

(2) Edward W. Goodman.

Date of Interview: 2 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: RCE filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

1-3, 5-8, 10-12 and 14-16.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.



SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To correct claims (see Examiner Amendment) to place this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)